

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/022,771	OTSUKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Robert Pose & Cecilia Davis.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2005
**Time:** 9:50
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*All pending*
**Claims discussed:**
*All pending*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. Pose on the 19th to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mrs. Davis called me informing me that Applicants intended to abandon the proceedings in favor of a continuation application. Mr. Pose and I discussed the pending case on the 20th. Mr. Pose confirmed that Applicant's have filed a continuation application (S/N 11/080,427, Filing date 3/16/2005) which claimed the benefit to this prior application under 35 USC 120. .